

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)	Initials	Date
1. C / Policy Br.	<i>[Signature]</i>	6/29
2. Debbie	<i>[Signature]</i>	7/5
3.		
4.		
5.		

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

Dang - FYI
Debbie - Pls keep with
related info in your
file.
Thank

STAT

--

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bldg.
	Phone No.

DATE

6 July 88

TO:

Q5 Registry

ROOM NO.

BUILDING

REMARKS:

File:

Polygraph Bire

H.R. 1212

FROM:

PB / PPS / Q5

ROOM NO.

BUILDING

EXTENSION

FORM NO.
1 FEB 56 241

REPLACES FORM 36-8
WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

John *4/16*


Do you (or Det) have
file a polygraph bill.
This should be filed
in it.

STAT



TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE <i>14 JUN 1968</i>	
TO: <i>D/05</i>			
STAT	ROOM NO. <i>1511</i>	BUILDING	
REMARKS:			
<i>EO 6/10 B</i>			
<i>c/PPS</i>			
STAT			
<i>Pls give OCA a call on this. I see no problems with the Bill or the DCI's letter.</i>			
STAT			
FROM: <i>(over)</i>			
ROOM NO.		BUILDING	EXTENSION

STAT

Repeated efforts to
contact 
directly were negative.
Asked his secretary,
Sunday, to advise him
DS concerns in
DCI letter to D/OPM.

STAT


16 June

1-3-1988

OS REGISTRY

15 JUN 1988

14 June 1988
OCA 2016-88

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration
Director, Office of Security
ADGC/AL&MS/OGC

FROM: [redacted] Legislation Division
Office of Congressional Affairs

SUBJECT: Request for Comments: Draft Enrolled Bill
Letter on H.R. 1212 - Polygraph Bill


1. Attached for your comment is a draft "enrolled bill" letter from the Director of Central Intelligence (DCI) to the Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The letter sets forth the DCI's views on H.R. 1212, the "Employee Polygraph Protection Act of 1988".

2. H.R. 1212 passed the Senate on 9 June 1988, thereby clearing it for Presidential action. The Senate vote of 68 to 24 probably makes the bill veto-proof.

3. Because of the deadline for Presidential action, we would appreciate receiving your comments no later than noon on Wednesday, 15 June 1988.

4. Your cooperation is most appreciated.

Attachment



DRAFT



Washington, D.C. 20505

Honorable James C. Miller, III
Director
Office of Management and Budget
Washington, D.C. 20503

Dear Mr. Miller:

This is in response to your request for the views of the Director of Central Intelligence on the enrolled bill H.R. 1212, the "Employee Polygraph Protection Act of 1988".

H.R. 1212 severely restricts private sector polygraph use. The sponsors have been careful, however, to make exception for national security uses of the polygraph. These include exceptions for the government generally, for agencies in the Intelligence Community (including the Central Intelligence Agency, the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency and the Federal Bureau of Investigation) and for contractors of these agencies and their employees. I commend the Congress for these efforts and I am satisfied they adequately address the equities of the Intelligence Community.

I remain somewhat concerned, however, that the negative atmosphere created by this legislation could impede our future ability to use the polygraph or to recruit and retain competent polygraph personnel. I also do not wish this to become the first step in an assault on our use of the polygraph or in an effort to apply to that use restrictions appropriate only to the private sector.

On balance, as the bill makes specific provision for our interests, I would have no objection to a Presidential approval. I did want to use this opportunity, however, to express my more general concerns about legislation in this area.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this important piece of legislation.

Sincerely yours,

William J. Webster
Director of Central Intelligence

DRAFT